

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/758,150	Applicant(s) CHOI, CHEE HONG	
	Examiner Lee, Calvin	Art Unit 2818	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Calvin Lee. (3) _____

(2) Mark G. Hanley. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 December 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 11 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent 6,784,069 to Patraw et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 11, line 8, after "and the sacrificial layer" with --, wherein the thickness of the sacrificial layer and the dielectric layer is substantially uniform-- Canceled claim 21.
Specification (paragraph 0015, line 2), replace "some area" with --some area 13--.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

CL

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required